

Committee: T&E Committee Review: Completed Staff: Glenn Orlin, Deputy Director Purpose: To receive testimony/final action - vote expected Keywords: #whiteflintwestworkaround

AGENDA ITEM #9 December 10, 2019 Public Hearing/Action

#### SUBJECT

Amendment to the FY19-24 Capital Improvements Program Supplemental appropriation to the FY20 Capital Budget <u>White Flint West Workaround</u> (CIP #501506), \$15,910,000

### **EXPECTED ATTENDEES**

- Emil Wolanin, Deputy Director, Department of Transportation (DOT)
- Dan Sheridan, Transportation Engineering Division, DOT
- Alison Dollar-Sibal, Budget Analyst, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

### **COUNCIL DECISION POINTS & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Councilmembers Hucker and Glass of the T&E Committee reviewed this matter on December 5, 2019 and recommended approval of the CIP amendment and an appropriation of \$18,168,000, as shown on ©8-12. (Councilmember Riemer could not attend.)

### **DESCRIPTION/ISSUE**

The request is needed due to design changes for storm drain and utility conflicts, land acquisitions, utility relocations, and related construction costs. OMB corrected the request: \$18,168,000 is needed to fully appropriate the project with the cost increase. The sources of revenue are the White Flint Special Taxing District (\$15,407,000); developer contributions (\$261,000); and intergovernmental-WSSC (\$2,500,000).

### SUMMARY OF KEY DISCUSSION POINTS

- The overall cost of project has increased by \$11,425,000 (+18.2%).
- The Executive notes that the White Flint Special Taxing District funding responsibility has been managed through General Fund advances. An overall financing plan for the District will be required to cover these and prior expenditures. The Department of Finance is developing plan options, and they will be shared with the Council within the next few months.

#### This report contains:

Staff report	Pages 1-2
Executive's November 15 transmittal memo	© 1-2
November 15 draft resolution, with project description form	© 3-6
OMB Director's November 25 memo correcting the request	© 7
November 25 draft resolution, with project description form	© 8-12

Alternative format requests for people with disabilities. If you need assistance accessing this report you may <u>submit alternative format requests</u> to the ADA Compliance Manager. The ADA Compliance Manager can also be reached at 240-777-6197 (TTY 240-777-6196) or at <u>adacompliance@montgomerycountymd.gov</u>

#### **MEMORANDUM**

December 5, 2019

TO: County Council

FROM: Glenn Orlin, Deputy Director

- SUBJECT: Amendment to the FY19-24 Capital Improvements Program (CIP) and supplemental appropriation to the FY20 Capital Budget: <u>White Flint West</u> <u>Workaround</u>, \$15,910,000
- PURPOSE: Public Hearing/Action

T&E Committee (and Council staff) recommendation (2-0): Councilmembers Hucker and Glass recommend approval of the CIP amendment and supplemental appropriation for \$18,168,000 as shown on ©8-12.

Those expected to participate in the worksession include:

- Emil Wolanin, Deputy Director, Department of Transportation (DOT)
- Dan Sheridan, Transportation Engineering Division, DOT
- Alison Dollar-Sibal, Budget Analyst, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

On November 15 the Executive requested this CIP amendment and supplemental appropriation to authorize spending for the balance of this project and recognizing that its cost has increased by 11,425,000 (+18.2%) over the Approved CIP.<sup>1</sup> The additional funds are needed due to design changes for storm drain and utility conflicts, land acquisitions, utility relocations, and related construction costs.

The major portion of the increase, about \$10.8 million, is due to a much higher cost estimate to relocate high-power electric lines and to make storm drain modifications. There are three PEPCO services in the project limits, including high voltage transmission (69kV),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Keyword: #whiteflintwestworkaround

transmission (34kV), and distribution (13kV) lines. Each service requires a separate underground duct bank, and each duct bank has horizontal and vertical clearance requirements. The higher cost estimate for the lines only became available from PEPCO this year, and they are much higher than DOT's prior estimates. In addition, there is a \$261,000 contribution from the Pike & Rose development for a set of traffic signals at the intersection of Towne Road and Rose Avenue.

The revised project description form also reflects the fact that of the estimated cost of relocating certain water and sanitary lines, will be split roughly evenly between the County and the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission.

On November 25 the Council received a memorandum from the OMB Director noting a correction to the requested appropriation: *the amount to be authorized should be \$18,168,000, not \$15,910,000* (see below):

Cost estimate in Approved CIP:	\$62,689,000
Cost increase in this CIP amendment:	\$11,425,000
New total cost:	\$74,114,000
Appropriation in the Approved CIP:	\$55.946,000
Appropriation needed for new total cost:	<u>\$18,168,000</u>
New total cost	\$74,114,000

The funds for this request would come from WSSC (\$2,500,000), developer contributions (\$261,000), and the White Flint Special Taxing District (\$15,407,000).

The Executive notes that the White Flint Special Taxing District funding responsibility has been managed through General Fund advances. To date there have been over \$19 million in advances for this project; with this appropriation the taxing district would "owe" the General Fund about \$34.5 million. An overall financing plan for the District will be required to cover these expenditures. The Department of Finance is developing plan options, and they will be shared with the Council within the next few months.

#### This report contains:

Executive's November 15 transmittal memo	© 1-2
November 15 draft resolution, with project description form	© 3-6
OMB Director's November 25 memo correcting the request	© 7
November 25 draft resolution, with project description form	© 8-12

F:\ORLIN\FY20\T&E\FY19-24 CIP\White Flint West Workaround\191210cc.doc



2回 11 日 日 40

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND 20850

Marc Elrich County Executive

RECEIVED MONTCOMERY COUNTY

#### **MEMQRANDUM**

November 15, 2019

TO: Nancy Navarro, President, County Council

Marc Elrich, County Executive

FROM:

Marc EX

SUBJECT: Amendment to the FY19-24 Capital Improvements Program and Supplemental Appropriation #11-S20-CMCG-3 to the FY20 Capital Budget Montgomery County Government Department of Transportation White Flint West Workaround (CIP No. 501506), \$15,910,000

I am recommending a supplemental appropriation to the FY20 Capital Budget and amendment to the FY19-24 Capital Improvements Program (CIP) in the amount of \$15,910,000 for the White Flint West Workaround (CIP No. 501506). This amendment will permit work on the project to progress without delay and will authorize necessary infrastructure and roadway infrastructure improvements.

This increase is needed due to design changes for storm drain and utility conflicts, land acquisitions, utility relocations and related construction costs. If this supplemental is not approved, construction costs are likely to increase, and it would not be possible to fully fund utility relocations negatively impacting the property owner's ability to implement approved development plans. The recommended amendment is consistent with the criteria for amending the CIP in that it leverages significant non-County sources, supports significant economic development initiatives, and the increase exceeds 10% over the last adopted in FY19-24 CIP.

I recommend that the County Council approve this supplemental appropriation and amendment to the FY19-24 Capital Improvements Program in the amount of \$15,910,000 and specify the source of funds as Intergovernmental, Developer Payments and White Flint Special Tax District.

The County is seeing less revenue for the Special Taxing District than expected. To date, the Department of Finance has been managing the expenditures through General Fund advances and no debt has been issued. However, as projects are progressing, an overall financing



Maryland Relay 711

Nancy Navarro, President, County Council November 15, 2019 Page two

plan will be required to cover the programmed expenditures. The Department of Finance is performing a comprehensive analysis of the district and developing financing plan options, which will be shared with County Council within the next few months.

I appreciate your prompt consideration of this action.

#### ME:ad

Attachment: Amendment to the FY19-24 Capital Improvement Program and Supplemental Appropriation #11-S20-CMCG-3

cc: Christopher R. Conklin, Director, Department of Transportation Richard S. Madaleno, Director, Office of Management and Budget

Resolution: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Introduced: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Adopted: \_\_\_\_\_\_

#### COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

By: Council President at the Request of the County Executive

SUBJECT: Amendment to the FY19-24 Capital Improvements Program and Supplemental Appropriation #11-S20-CMCG-3 to the FY20 Capital Budget Montgomery County Government Department of Transportation White Flint West Workaround (CIP No. 501506), \$15,910,000

#### Background

1. Section 307 of the Montgomery County Charter provides that any Supplemental Appropriation shall be recommended by the County Executive who shall specify the source of funds to finance it. The Council shall hold a public hearing on each proposed Supplemental Appropriation after at least one week's notice. A Supplemental Appropriation that would comply with, avail the County of, or put into effect a grant or a Federal, State or County law or regulation, or one that is approved after January 1 of any fiscal year, requires an affirmative vote of five Councilmembers. A Supplemental Appropriation for any other purpose that is approved before January 1 of any fiscal year requires an affirmative vote of six Councilmembers. The Council may, in a single action, approve more than one Supplemental Appropriation. The Executive may disapprove or reduce a Supplemental Appropriation, and the Council may reapprove the Appropriation, as if it were an item in the annual budget.

2. Section 302 of the Montgomery County Charter provides that the Council may amend an approved Capital Improvements Program (CIP) at any time by an affirmative vote of no fewer than six members of the Council.

3. The County Executive recommends the following capital project appropriation increases:

<u>Project Name</u> White Flint West	Project Number	Cost Element	<u>Amount</u>	Source of Funds
Workaround	501506	Construction SIU	\$2,500,000 \$261,000 \$13,149,000	Intergovernmental Development Approval White Flint Special Tax District
		Total	\$15,910,000	

Amendment to the FY19-24 Capital Improvement Program and Supplemental Appropriation #11-S20-CMCG-3 November 15, 2019 Page 2 of 2

4. This increase is needed due to design changes for storm drain and utility conflicts, land acquisitions, utility relocations and related construction costs. If this supplemental is not approved, -construction costs are likely to increase, and it would not be possible to fully fund utility relocations negatively impacting the property owner's ability implement approved development plans. The recommended amendment is consistent with the criteria for amending the CIP in that it leverages significant non-County sources, supports significant economic development initiatives, and the increase exceeds 10% over the last adopted in FY19-24 CIP.

5. The County Executive recommends and amendment to the FY19-24 Capital Improvements Program and an FY20 Supplemental Appropriation in the amount of \$15,910,000 and specifies the source of funds as Intergovernmental, Developer Payments, and White Flint Special Tax District.

6. Notice of public hearing was given and a public hearing was held.

#### **Action**

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland, approves the following resolution:

The FY19-24 Capital Improvements Program of the Montgomery County Government is amended as reflected on the attached project description form and a supplemental appropriation is approved as follows:

Project Name White Flint West	Project Number	Cost Element	<u>Amount</u>	Source of Funds
Workaround	501506	Construction SIU	\$2,500,000 \$261,000 \$13,149,000	Intergovernmental Development Approval White Flint Special Tax District
		Total	\$15,910,000	

This is a correct copy of Council Action

Selena Singleton, Clerk of the Council

(4)



# White Flint West Workaround

۰ - <u>-</u>					mere		1 2 2 2 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Plenning, Design and Bupendalon			URE SCH		Die)		
Land Sile Inprovements and Califies	7,250 102 23,406	1777		840	्रिया स्थिति स्थिति इति इति स्थिति		
		7,58		19913 3.000 2000 4000 2000 50	n an		
AMOUNT PRYNEWS	74,114 741 8 40	FUNDING	SCHEDU	/: LE (\$050c)		0 241	and the second second second
TOTAL FINIDINE SOURCE	67,667	10,172	4,305 6				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	74 ML	TING BUE				16.501	

APPRC	PRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (1000)	

	A second s			and the second		
- 2	A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER	La characterie		2.7.6	Year Plat Annanchation	
1.	and a second second second second	Carlos de C	in that does a shirt of			FY15 4
1	opendare / Encuritorances			A CARLES A		- 107.000
- 5	A CONTRACTOR OF		•	12,414		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.000	oupplananta	16
		ere de la service	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- <b>Fran</b>		15,910
				A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O		

#### PROJECT DESCRIPTION

modelles EV Do Die

And in

This project provides for land acquisition, site improvements and utility (SI&U) selections, construction management and construction for one new road, one new billowity, one released road, and an intersection realignment improvement, and the reconstruction of an soluting readway in the White Flint District area for Snep 1. and structure improvements to be reads will include new traffic inner, shared use paths, undergrounding of overhead utility lines where required, other atility releasions for construction are: 1. Main Smothidener Street (B-10)- Old Georgetown Road (MD187) to Woodgim Deive- new two-lane 1,200-foot readway. 2. Main Sweet/Market Street (LB-1)- Old Georgetown Road (MD187) to Woodgim Drive- new 1,200-foot billowity: 3. Executive Boulevard Extended (B-15) - Marinelli Georgetown Road, and Executive Boulevard including the sparoether to Old Georgetown Road and the portion of Hoys Street form the intersection staling ment of the sparse of function for another to the states of the sparse of functions of the sparse of the portion of Hoys Street form the intersection stalignment of the sparse of the sparse of the intersection to provide accurs to new development. 5. Hoys Street (M-4A)- Monstone Parkway to the intersection of Old Georgetown Road-1,100 first of reconstructed 4-lane readway.

#### ESTMATED SCHEDULE

1. Main Screet/Market Street (B-10) - Design in FY14 through FY18, SI&U in FY17 through FY19, and construction in FY17 through FY19, 2. Main Sweet/Market Street (LB-1) - Design in FY14 through FY18, SI&U in FY17 through FY19, and construction in FY16 through FY19, 3. Executive Bosleward Extended (B-15) - Design in FY14 through FY18, SI&U in FY17 through FY19, and construction in FY16 through FY19, 3. Executive Bosleward Hoys Street (Interview Read) (M-4A), Old Georgetown Read, and Executive Bosleward - Design in FY14 through FY18, Interview Read (M-4A), Old Georgetown Read, and Executive Bosleward - Design in FY14 through FY18, Interview Read (M-4A), Old Georgetown Read, and Executive Bosleward - Design in FY14 through FY18, Interview Read) (M-4A), Old Georgetown Read, and Executive Bosleward - Design in FY14 through FY18, Interview Read (M-4A), Old Georgetown Read, M-4A), Old Georgetown Read, and Executive Bosleward - Design in FY14 through FY18, Interview Read (M-4A), Old Georgetown Read, M-4A). The state of the construction in FY19 through FY22. S. Hoys Street (M-4A) - Design in FY14 through FY18, Interview Read (M-4A) - Design in FY14 through FY18, Interview Read (M-4A) - Design in FY14 through FY18, Interview Read (M-4A) - Design in FY14 through FY18, Interview Read (M-4A) - Design in FY14 through FY18, Interview Read (M-4A) - Design in FY14 through FY18, Interview Read (M-4A) - Design in FY18, Interview Read (M-4A) - Design (M-4A) - Design in FY18, Intervi

#### PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

The vision for the White Flint District is for a more urban care wift a walloble street grid, sidewalks, bihoways, trails, paths, public use space, parks and recreational facilities, mixed-use development, and enhanced streetscape to improve the areas for pedestrian and bioycle circulation and transit oriented development around the Metro station. These read improvements, along with other District reads proposed to be constructed by developers will falfill the strategic program plan for a more effective and efficient transportation system. The proposed improvements are in conformance with the White Flint Sector Plan Resolution 16-1300

#### OTHER

The segments of Main Street Market Street and Executive Boulevard Extended that are adjacent to the Conference Conter site will be constructed by the connector of

White Flint West Workeround | 2020 CCApproved-OMBFinal | 11/14/2019 03:16:28 PM

the Coolinance Caster Parking Gampe. Repetitiones for these segments are in FY17 and FY18 in order to coordinate with the construction of the parking gampe and minimize impacts to the surrounding community.

#### **FRECAL NOTE**

The utilization function from the second second second provided Texting District text servations and related special editionism board instant. Dubt services and the special edition is and instant will be paid askip from White Plint Special Texting District servations and related special editionism board instant. Dubt services and that the White Plint Special Texting District special we serve second and plants for services. Resolution No. 16-1370 means that "The County's goal is not the White Plint Special Texting District special we second and parents of the table for second and for the District, enough that the second second second and plants in second second and the second second second second second and the second sec

A pathetities impatt analysis has been completed for this project.

.

#### **DECISIONATION**

Maryland National Capital Park and Plancker Consellation, Washington Aste Manupolitus Transft Automity, Chron Rockville, State Highway Administration, Town of Gamet Park, Neightenberg Civic Associations, Descingent, Maryland National Capital Park and Plancing Commission, Washington Aste Manupolitus Transft Automity, City of Rockville, State Highway Administration, Transft Commission Control Park and Plancing Commission, Washington Aste Manupolitus

#### ADDED TO FISCAL NOTE

In FY20 Council approved a supplemental appropriation and amendment to add \$11,425,000 (\$261,000 in Developer Payments, \$2,500,000 in Intergovernmental, and \$8,664,000 in White Flint Special Tax District), and fully appropriated the project.

2

White Film West Worksround | 2020 CCApproved-OMBFinel | 11/14/2019 03:16:28 PM



OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Marc Elrich County Executive

Richard S. Madaleno Director

#### **MEMORANDUM**

#### November 25, 2019

TO: Nancy Navarro, President, County Council

FROM: Richard S. Madaleno, Director

SUBJECT: Technical Adjustment to the Amendment to the FY19-24 Capital Improvements Program and Supplemental Appropriation #11-S20-CMCG-3 to the FY20 Capital Budget Montgomery County Government Department of Transportation White Flint West Workaround (CIP No. 501506), \$2,258,000- \*{{F,/68,000}

The Amendment to the FY19-24 Capital Improvements program and Supplemental Appropriation for the White Flint West Workaround needs a technical adjustment. An additional \$2,258,000 in appropriation is needed to fully appropriate the project.

It is important to note that this adjustment does not increase the total project cost. The total project increase remains at \$11,425,000 and the total appropriation request is now \$18,168,000.

This technical adjustment will permit work on the project to progress without delay and will authorize necessary infrastructure and roadway infrastructure improvements. I recommend that the County Council approve the additional appropriation request.

I appreciate your prompt consideration of this action.

#### RSM:ad

c: Christopher R. Conklin, Director, Department of Transportation



#### Office of the Director

101 Monroe Street, 14th Floor • Rockville, Maryland 20850 • 240-777-2800 www.montgomerycountymd.gov/omb



Resolutio	n:
Introduce	đ:
Adopted:	

#### COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

#### By: Council President at the Request of the County Executive

SUBJECT: Amendment to the FY19-24 Capital Improvements Program and Supplemental Appropriation #11-S20-CMCG-3 to the FY20 Capital Budget Montgomery County Government Department of Transportation White Flint West Workaround (CIP No. 501506), \$18,168,000

#### Background

1. Section 307 of the Montgomery County Charter provides that any Supplemental Appropriation shall be recommended by the County Executive who shall specify the source of funds to finance it. The Council shall hold a public hearing on each proposed Supplemental Appropriation after at least one week's notice. A Supplemental Appropriation that would comply with, avail the County of, or put into effect a grant or a Federal, State or County law or regulation, or one that is approved after January 1 of any fiscal year, requires an affirmative vote of five Councilmembers. A Supplemental Appropriation for any other purpose that is approved before January 1 of any fiscal year requires an affirmative vote of six Councilmembers. The Council may, in a single action, approve more than one Supplemental Appropriation. The Executive may disapprove or reduce a Supplemental Appropriation, and the Council may reapprove the Appropriation, as if it were an item in the annual budget.

2. Section 302 of the Montgomery County Charter provides that the Council may amend an approved Capital Improvements Program (CIP) at any time by an affirmative vote of no fewer than six members of the Council.

3. The County Executive recommends the following capital project appropriation increases:

Project Name White Flint West	Project Number	Cost Element	Amount	Source of Funds
Workaround	501506	Construction SIU	\$2,500,000 \$261,000 \$15,407,000	Intergovernmental Development Approval White Flint Special Tax District
		Total	\$18,168,000	

Amendment to the FY19-24 Capital Improvement Program and Supplemental Appropriation #11-S20-CMCG-3 November 15, 2019 Page 2 of 2

4. This increase is needed due to design changes for storm drain and utility conflicts, land acquisitions, utility relocations and related construction costs. If this supplemental is not approved, -construction costs are likely to increase, and it would not be possible to fully fund utility relocations negatively impacting the property owner's ability implement approved development plans. The recommended amendment is consistent with the criteria for amending the CIP in that it leverages significant non-County sources, supports significant economic development initiatives, and the increase exceeds 10% over the last adopted in FY19-24 CIP.

5. The County Executive recommends and amendment to the FY19-24 Capital Improvements Program and an FY20 Supplemental Appropriation in the amount of \$18,168,000 and specifies the source of funds as Intergovernmental, Developer Payments, and White Flint Special Tax District.

6. Notice of public hearing was given and a public hearing was held.

#### **Action**

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland, approves the following resolution:

The FY19-24 Capital Improvements Program of the Montgomery County Government is amended as reflected on the attached project description form and a supplemental appropriation is approved as follows:

Project Name White Flint West	Project Number	Cost Element	Amount	Source of Funds
Workaround	50150 <del>6</del>	Construction SIU	\$2,500,000 \$261,000 \$15,407,000	Intergovernmental Development Approval White Flint Special Tax District
		Total	\$18,168,000	

This is a correct copy of Council Action

Mary Anno Paradise, Acting Clerk of the Council Seles - Mendy Singleton



# White Flint West Workaround

(P501506)

Category	Transportation	Date Last Modified	03/12/19
SubCategory	Roads	Administering Agency	Transportation
Planning Area	North Bethesda-Garrett Park	Status	Final Design Stage

### **EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)**

Cost Elements	Total	Thru FY18	Rem F¥18	Total 6 Years	FY 19	FY 20	FY 21	FY 22	FY 23	FY 24	Beyond
Planning, Design and Supervision	8615,209	. 1,777	-591	6838,974	1977	2460 2 <del>,020</del>	1608	793	-	_	6 Years
Land	931 502	111		820 378-		431232	60,		_	-	-
Site Improvements and Utilities	10224 23,868	696	<del>8;209</del>	9528 95005	2 3,863	380	<u>, 6, 89</u> 0	4250	-	_	-
Construction	54344 39,090	7,588	-992	4 <b>6 756</b> 0	3918	200 10,011	21,267	7230 2,000 1/4/84	-	-	-
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	<b>s 62,889</b> 74,114	10,172	4,805	4 <del>7,71</del> 2 63942	<del>0,288</del> 6 16 4	19,956	15,468 27,831	3,000	-	-	-

### FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

Funding Source White Flint Special Tax District TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES Developer Payments Intergovernmental	261 2500	Thru FY18 10,172 10,172 0 0 7 10,172	-	63942 47,742 261 2500	6164 9 <b>,268</b> 0	FY 20 / 3358 <del>10,95</del> 6 / <b>3359</b> <b>19,956</b> 0 0 00s)	FY 21 27831 15,468 27831 1 <b>5,468</b> 0 0	/3828 3,000 /4555	-	FY 24 -	Beyond 6 Years -
Impact Type				Total 6 Years	FY 19	FY 20	FY 21	FY 22	FY 23	FY 24	
Maintenance				14	· -	-	-	-	7	7	
Energy				2	-	-	-	-	1	1	
NET IMPACT				16	-			_	8		

### **APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (\$000s)**

2,258	Year First Appropriation	FY15					
53,688	Last FY's Cost Estimate	62,689					
12,414	Supplemental Appropriation	18, 168					
41,274							
	53,688 12,414	2,258Year First Appropriation53,688Last FY's Cost Estimate12,414Supplemental Appropriation					

## **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

This project provides for land acquisition, site improvements and utility (SI&U) relocations, construction management and construction for one new road, one new bikeway, one relocated road, and an intersection realignment improvement, and the reconstruction of an existing roadway in the White Flint District area for Stage 1. Various improvements to the roads will include new traffic lanes, shared-use paths, undergrounding of overhead utility lines where required, other utility relocations and streetscaping.



#### COST CHANGE

Reflects increased cost of #11,425,000 due to higher costs associated with storm drain and utility conflicts land acquisition, utility relocations, and related construction costs.

Preliminary and final engineering were funded through FY14 by White Flint District West: Transportation (CIP #501116). The proposed projects for construction are: 1. Main Street/Market Street (B-10) - Old Georgetown Road (MD187) to Woodglen Drivenew two-lane 1,200-foot roadway. 2. Main Street/Market Street (LB-1) - Old Georgetown Road (MD187) to Woodglen Drive- new 1,200-foot bikeway. 3. Executive Boulevard Extended (B-15) - Marinelli Road to Old Georgetown Road (MD187)- 900 feet of relocated four-lane roadway. 4. Intersection of Hoya Street (formerly 'Old' Old Georgetown Road) (M-4A), Old Georgetown Road, and Executive Boulevard, including the approaches to Old Georgetown Road and the portion of Hoya Street from the intersection realignment of Hoya Street/Old Georgetown Road/Executive Boulevard to a point just north of the intersection to provide access to new development. 5. Hoya-Struet (M-4A)- Montrose Parkway to the intersection of Old Georgetown Road-1,100 feet of reconstructed 4-lane roadway.

### ESTIMATED SCHEDULE

1. Main Street/Market Street (B-10) - Design in FY14 through FY18, SI&U in FY17 through FY19, and construction in FY17 through FY19. 2. Main Street/Market Street (LB-1) - Design in FY14 through FY18, SI&U in FY17 through FY19, and construction in FY16 through FY19. 3. Executive Boulevard Extended (B-15) - Design in FY14 through FY18, SI&U and construction in FY17 through FY18 (Phase 1) and FY20 through FY21 (Phase 2). 4. Intersection of Hoya Street (formerly 'Old' Old Georgetown Road) (M-4A), Old Georgetown Road, and Executive Boulevard - Design in FY14 through FY18, land acquisition in FY18 and FY19, SI&U and construction in FY19 through FY22. 5. Hoya Street (M-4A) - Design in FY14 through FY18, land acquisition in FY18 through FY20, SI&U and construction in FY19 through FY22. The schedule and cost estimates assume that all land needed for road construction will be dedicated by the major developers in a timely manner and that the construction of the conference center replacement parking will take place prior to the start of the road construction.

## **PROJECT JUSTIFICATION**

The vision for the White Flint District is for a more urban core with a walkable street grid, sidewalks, bikeways, trails, paths, public use space, parks and recreational facilities, mixed-use development, and enhanced streetscape to improve the areas for pedestrian and bicycle circulation and transit oriented development around the Metro station. These road improvements, along with other District roads proposed to be constructed by developers will fulfill the strategic program plan for a more effective and efficient transportation system. The proposed improvements are in conformance with the White Flint Sector Plan Resolution 16-1300 adopted March 23, 2010.

### OTHER

The segments of Main Street/Market Street and Executive Boulevard Extended that are adjacent to the Conference Center site will be constructed by the contractor of the Conference Center Parking Garage. Expenditures for these segments are in FY17 and FY18 in order to coordinate with the construction of the parking garage and minimize impacts to the surrounding community.

### **FISCAL NOTE**

The ultimate funding source for these projects will be White Flint Special Taxing District tax revenues and related special obligation bond issues. Debt service on the special obligation bond issues will be paid solely from White Flint Special Taxing District revenues. Resolution No. 16-1570 states that "The County's goal is that the White Flint Special Taxing District special tax rate must not exceed ten percent of the total tax rate for the District, except that the rate must be sufficient to pay debt service on any bonds that are already outstanding." If White Flint Special Tax District revenues are not sufficient to fund these projects then the County will utilize advance funding and management of debt issuance or repayment in a manner to comply with the goal. A public-private partnership will be considered to expedite this project.

### DISCLOSURES

White Flint West Workaround

A pedestrian impact analysis has been completed for this project.

### COORDINATION

Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, Washington Area Metropolitan Transit Authority, City of Rockville, State Highway Administration, Town of Garrett Park, Neighborhood Civic Associations, Developers, Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, Washington Area Metropolitan Transit Authority, City of Rockville, State Highway Administration, Town of Garrett Park, Neighborhood Civic Associations, Developers